

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,674	10/19/2001	Yan Zhou	021040-000300US	3848
20350	7590 10/30/2003		EXAM	INER
	D AND TOWNSEND	PETKOVSEK	PETKOVSEK, DANIEL J	
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FLO	RCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2874	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/083,674	ZHOU ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Daniel J Petkovsek	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) 1-95 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
, _							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

It is noted that claims 27 and 28, although not being dependent explicitly on claims 25 or 26, are grouped accordingly as if they were dependent on claims 25 or 26 (Group I). Correction is required to claims 27 and 28. It is noted that claim 95 is grouped with Group I, since it is first dependent on claim 1.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-36, and 89-95, drawn to an optical mode transformer with lower clad, core, side clad, and upper clad, having a taper in the core, classified in class 385, subclass 43.
 - II. Claims 37-46, drawn to an optical waveguide having a non-cylindrical core and graded index properties, classified in class 385, subclass 146.
 - III. Claims 47-71, drawn to an optical device having refractive index distributions that vary in the x-coordinate and y-coordinate, in the respective cladding layers, classified in class 385, subclass 124.
 - IV. Claims 72-76, and 87, drawn to a method of fabricating a tapered optical waveguide using steps including a mask having a gray-scale, including etching steps, classified in class 65, subclass 386.

Art Unit: 2874

V. Claims 77-86, drawn to a method of fabricating an optical waveguide with refractive index distributions in a plurality of dimensions, using a mask having a stripe, including etching steps, classified in class 65, subclass 386.

VI. Claim 88, drawn to a method of fabricating an optical waveguide having a tapered high-refractive-index core using process steps with a plurality of masks, the first having a gray-scale pattern, the second having a stripe, classified in class 65, subclass 386.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. For example, invention I operates as an optical mode transformer with lower clad, core, side clad, and upper clad, having a taper in the core. On the other hand, invention II operates as to an optical waveguide having a non-cylindrical core and graded index properties.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as having utility as an optical device having refractive index distributions that vary in the x-coordinate and y-coordinate, in the respective cladding layers, causing different propagating utilities. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2874

4. Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention I can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.

- Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention I can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.
- 6. Inventions I and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention I can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.
- 7. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. For example, invention II operates as to an optical

Application/Control Number: 10/083,674

Art Unit: 2874

waveguide having a non-cylindrical core and graded index properties. On the other hand, invention III operates as an optical device having refractive index distributions that vary in the x-coordinate and y-coordinate, in the respective cladding layers.

Page 5

- 8. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention II can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.
- 9. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case invention II can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.
- Inventions II and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case invention II can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,674

Art Unit: 2874

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case invention III can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.

Page 6

- 12. Inventions III and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case invention III can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.
- 13. Inventions III and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case invention III can be made by a different method step process, such as having different etching, depositing, and photo-resist steps.
- 14. Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as using a method of fabricating a tapered optical waveguide including steps having a mask having a gray-scale, while

Application/Control Number: 10/083,674

Art Unit: 2874

invention V has the utility of forming an optical waveguide with refractive index distributions in a plurality of dimensions, using a mask having a stripe. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 7

- 15. Inventions VI and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention VI has a tapered high-refractive-index waveguide having graded refractive index distributions in the vertical dimension, while having a step refractive index distribution in the lateral dimension. The subcombination has separate utility such as having functionality without the second mask using the stripe.
- 16. Inventions VI and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention VI has a tapered high-refractive-index waveguide having graded refractive index distributions in the vertical dimension, while having a step refractive index distribution in the lateral dimension. The subcombination has separate utility such as having functionality without the first mask using the gray scale.

.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2874

17. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 19. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J Petkovsek whose telephone number is (703) 305-6919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2874

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9321.

Daniel Petkovsek October 23, 2003

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER